

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

RICHARD SCALFANI, INDIVIDUALLY
AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS
SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MISONIX, INC., MICHAEL A. MCMANUS,
JR., AND RICHARD A. ZAREMBA,

Defendants.

FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

★ **DEC 16 2017** ★

LONG ISLAND OFFICE

Case No.: 16-cv-5218

CLASS ACTION

----- **ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT**

On the 15th day of December, 2017, a hearing having been held before this Court to determine: (1) whether the terms and conditions of the Stipulation of Settlement dated June 23, 2017 (the "Settlement Stipulation") are fair, reasonable and adequate for the settlement of all claims asserted by the Settlement Class against the Defendants (as defined in the Settlement Stipulation), including the release of the Released Claims against the Released Parties, and should be approved; (2) whether judgment should be entered dismissing this Action with prejudice; (3) whether to approve the proposed Plan of Allocation as a fair and reasonable method to allocate the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members; (4) whether and in what amount to award Class Counsel as fees and reimbursement of expenses; and (5) whether and in what amount to award Lead Plaintiffs as incentive fees; and

The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the hearing and otherwise; and

It appearing in the record that the Notice substantially in the form approved by the Court in the Court's Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, dated September 7, 2017 ("Preliminary Approval Order") was mailed to all reasonably identifiable Settlement Class Members and posted to the website of the Claims Administrator, both in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order and the specifications of the Court; and

It appearing in the record that the Summary Notice substantially in the form approved by the Court in the Preliminary Approval Order was published in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order and the specifications of the Court;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED
THAT:

1. All capitalized terms used herein have the same meanings as set forth and defined in the Settlement Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, Lead Plaintiffs, all Settlement Class Members, and the Defendants.

3. The Court finds that the prerequisites for a class action under Rule 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied in that: (a) the number of Settlement Class Members is so numerous that joinder of all members thereof is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims of the Lead Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class they seek to represent; (d) Lead Plaintiffs fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Settlement Class; (e) questions of law and fact common to the members of the Settlement Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Settlement Class; and (f) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this Action. The Settlement Class is being certified for settlement purposes only.

4. The Court hereby finally certifies this action as a class action for purposes of the Settlement, pursuant to Rule 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of all Persons (including, without limitation, their beneficiaries) who purchased the securities of Misonix on NASDAQ during the period from November 5, 2015 through September 14, 2016, inclusive, except that excluded from the Settlement Class are all: (i) Defendants; (ii) current and former officers and directors of Misonix and of any other Released Party; (iii) parents, spouses, or children living in the household of any person excluded under (i) or (ii) above; (iv) any legal entity more than 50% owned by any person excluded under (i) and (ii) above; and (v) the heirs,

successors and assigns of any person excluded under (i) and (ii) above. (the “Settlement Class Members”).

5. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Lead Plaintiffs are certified as the class representatives on behalf of the Settlement Class (“Class Representatives”) and Lead Plaintiffs’ Counsel previously selected by Lead Plaintiffs and appointed by the Court are hereby finally appointed as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class (“Class Counsel”).

6. In accordance with the Court’s Preliminary Approval Order, the Court hereby finds that the forms and methods of notifying the Settlement Class of the Settlement and its terms and conditions met the requirements of due process, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Section 21D(a)(7) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7), as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995; constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; and constituted due and sufficient notice of these proceedings and the matters set forth herein, including the Settlement and Plan of Allocation, to all persons and entities entitled to such notice. No Settlement Class Member is relieved from the terms and conditions of the Settlement, including the releases provided for in the Settlement Stipulation, based upon the contention or proof that such Settlement Class Member failed to receive actual or adequate notice. A full opportunity has been offered to the Settlement Class Members to object to the proposed Settlement and to participate in the hearing thereon. The Court further finds that the notice provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, were fully discharged. Thus, it is hereby determined that all Settlement Class Members are bound by this Order and Final Judgment except those persons listed on Exhibit A to this Order and Final Judgment.

7. The Settlement is approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. This Court further finds that the Settlement set forth in the

Settlement Stipulation is the result of good faith, arm's-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the Class Representatives, Settlement Class Members and Defendants. The Settling Parties are directed to consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Settlement Stipulation.

8. The Action and all claims contained therein, as well as all of the Released Claims, are dismissed with prejudice as against each and all of the Defendants. The Parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Settlement Stipulation.

9. The Releasing Parties, on behalf of themselves, their successors and assigns, and any other Person claiming (now or in the future) through or on behalf of them, regardless of whether any such Releasing Party ever seeks or obtains by any means, including without limitation by submitting a Proof of Claim and Release Form, any disbursement from the Settlement Fund, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Order and Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Parties. The Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Order and Final Judgment shall have, covenanted not to sue the Released Parties with respect to any and all Released Claims in any forum and in any capacity. The Releasing Parties shall be and hereby are permanently barred and enjoined from asserting, commencing, prosecuting, instituting, assisting, instigating, or in any way participating in the commencement or prosecution of any action or other proceeding, in any forum, asserting any Released Claim, in any capacity, against any of the Released Parties. Nothing contained herein shall, however, bar the Releasing Parties from bringing any action or claim to enforce the terms of the Settlement Stipulation or this Order and Final Judgment.

10. To the fullest extent permitted by law, all Persons shall be permanently enjoined, barred and restrained from bringing, commencing, prosecuting or asserting any claims, actions, or causes of action for contribution, indemnity or otherwise against any of the Released Parties seeking as damages or otherwise the recovery of all or any part of any liability, judgment or settlement which they pay or are obligated to pay or agree to pay to the Settlement Class or any Settlement Class Member arising out of, relating to or concerning such Persons' participation in any acts, facts, statements or omissions that were or could have been alleged in the Action, whether arising under state, federal or foreign law as claims, cross-claims, counterclaims, third-party claims or otherwise, in the Court or any other federal, state, or foreign court, or in any arbitration proceeding, administrative agency proceeding, tribunal, or any other proceeding or forum. Further, nothing in the Settlement Stipulation or this Order and Final Judgment shall apply to bar or otherwise affect any claim for insurance coverage by any Defendant.

11. The Court hereby finds that the proposed Plan of Allocation is a fair and reasonable method to allocate the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members, and Class Counsel and the Claims Administrator are directed to administer the Plan of Allocation in accordance with its terms and the terms of the Settlement Stipulation.

12. The Court finds that all Settling Parties and their counsel have complied with all requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Private Securities Litigation Record Act of 1995 as to all proceedings herein.

13. Neither this Order and Final Judgment, the Settlement Stipulation (nor the Settlement contained therein), nor any of its terms and provisions, nor any of the negotiations, documents or proceedings connected with them is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as an admission, concession, or evidence of:

(a) the validity or invalidity of any Released Claims, the truth or falsity of any fact alleged by the Lead Plaintiffs or the Settlement Class, the sufficiency or deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action, or of any wrongdoing, liability, negligence or fault of the Defendants, the Released Parties, or any of them;

(b) any fault, or misrepresentation or omission with respect to any statement or written document attributed to, approved or made by any of the Defendants or Released Parties in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal; or

(c) the infirmity or strength of any claim raised in the Action or the availability or lack of availability of meritorious defenses to the claims raised in the Action;

14. Neither this Order and Final Judgment, the Settlement Stipulation (nor the Settlement contained therein), nor any of its terms and provisions, nor any of the negotiations, documents or proceedings connected with them is or may be deemed to be, or may be used as an admission, concession, or evidence that any of Lead Plaintiffs' or Settlement Class Members' claims are with or without merit, that a litigation class should or should not be certified, that damages recoverable in the Action would have been greater or less than the Settlement Fund or that the consideration to be given pursuant to the Stipulation represents an amount equal to, less than or greater than the amount which could have or would have been recovered after trial.

15. The Released Parties may file the Settlement Stipulation and/or this Order and Final Judgment in any other action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, full faith and

credit, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

16. Except as otherwise provided herein or in the Settlement Stipulation, all funds held by the Escrow Agents shall be deemed to be in *custodia legis* and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court until such time as the funds are distributed or returned pursuant to the Settlement Stipulation and/or further order of the Court.

17. Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the Settling Parties and the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to the Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation or enforcement of the Settlement Stipulation and this Order and Final Judgment, and including any application for fees and expenses incurred in connection with administering and distributing the Settlement proceeds to the Settlement Class Members.

18. Without further order of the Court, the Defendants and Class Representatives may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Settlement Stipulation.

19. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order and Final Judgment and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

20. The finality of this Order and Final Judgment shall not be affected, in any manner, by rulings that the Court may make on Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses or an award to the Class Representatives.

21. In the event the Settlement is not consummated in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Stipulation, then the Settlement Stipulation and this Order and Final Judgment (including any amendment(s) thereof, and except as expressly provided in the Settlement

Stipulation or by order of the Court) shall be null and void, of no further force or effect, and without prejudice to any Settling Party, and may not be introduced as evidence or used in any action or proceeding by any Person against the Settling Parties or the Released Parties, and each Settling Party shall be restored to his, her or its respective litigation positions as they existed prior to June 23, 2017, pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Stipulation.

Case Closed

Dated: 12/16, 2017

Arthur D. Spatt

HON. ARTHUR D. SPATT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

RICHARD SCALFANI, INDIVIDUALLY
AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS
SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MISONIX, INC., MICHAEL A. MCMANUS,
JR., AND RICHARD A. ZAREMBA,

Defendants.

Case No.: 16-cv-5218

CLASS ACTION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEYS' FEES, REIMBURSEMENT OF
EXPENSES, AND AWARD TO CLASS REPRESENTATIVES**

WHEREAS, the Court has granted final approval to the Settlement of the above-referenced class action;

WHEREAS, Class Counsel, The Rosen Law Firm, P.A., appointed by the Court as lead counsel for the purposes of the Settlement and have petitioned the Court for the award of attorneys' fees in compensation for the services provided to Class Representatives and the Class along with reimbursement of expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution of this action, and an award to Class Representatives, to be paid out of the Gross Settlement Fund established pursuant to the Settlement;

WHEREAS, capitalized terms used herein having the meanings defined in the Stipulation of Settlement filed with the Court on June 23, 2017 (the "Stipulation")(Dkt. No. 24); and

WHEREAS, the Court has reviewed the fee application and the supporting materials filed therewith, and has heard the presentation made by Class Counsel during the final approval hearing on the 15th day of December, 2017, and due consideration having been had thereon.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered:

1. The Rosen Law Firm, P.A. shall be reimbursed out of the Gross Settlement Fund in the amount of \$6,696.37 for its expenses and costs.

2. The Rosen Law Firm, P.A. is awarded one-third of the Settlement Fund after the payment of expenses, or \$164,434.51, as attorneys' fees in this action, together with a proportionate share of the interest earned on the fund, at the same rate as earned by the balance of the fund, from the date of the establishment of the fund to the date of payment. Therefore, The Rosen Law Firm, P.A. will receive reimbursement of expenses and attorneys' fees in the total amount of \$171,130.88.

3. The Court finds that the amount of fees awarded is fair and reasonable in light of the time and labor required, the novelty and difficulty of the case, the skill required to prosecute the case, the experience and ability of the attorneys, awards in similar cases, the contingent nature of the representation and the result obtained for the Class.

4. Class Representatives Richard Scalfani and Tracey Angiuoli shall be awarded \$6,000 total, or \$3,000 each, for reimbursement for their lost time in connection with their prosecution of this action.

5. Except as otherwise provided herein, the attorneys' fees, reimbursement of expenses, and award to Class Representatives shall be paid in the manner and procedure provided for in the Stipulation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Arthur D. Spatt

Dated: 12/16/17

HON. ARTHUR D. SPATT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE